

C O N F I D E N T I A L

T E X A S E D U C A T I O N A G E N C Y
Adequate Yearly Progress District Data Table

Preliminary 2009 AYP Results

District Name: GRANGER (246905)

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP (Measure)	LEP (Students)
--	-----------------	---------------------	----------	-------	------------------	----------------------	------------------	-------------------

Performance: Reading/English Language Arts (AYP Target: 67%)

AYP Proficiency Rate

2008-09 Assessments

Met Standard	174	3	54	113	88	15	13	n/a
Number Tested	217	7	79	127	120	28	21	18
% Met Standard	80%	43%	68%	89%	73%	54%	62%	n/a
Student Group %	100%	3%	36%	59%	55%	13%	n/a	8%

Performance Improvement/Safe Harbor

2007-08 Assessments

Met Standard	204	8	63	131	106	17	11	n/a
Number Tested	253	11	87	152	139	35	19	17
% Met Standard	81%	73%	72%	86%	76%	49%	58%	n/a

Change in % Met Standard	-1	-30	-4	3	-3	5	4	
--------------------------	----	-----	----	---	----	---	---	--

2008-09 AYP Proficiency Rate including the Texas Projection Measure (TPM)

Met Standard or TPM	194	5	64	121	101	17	16	
Number Tested	217	7	79	127	120	28	21	
% Met Standard or TPM	89%	71%	81%	95%	84%	61%	76%	

The preview data tables are not masked to protect individual student confidentiality. Individual student information (including aggregate counts of less than 5) in the tables is confidential under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA). The agency additionally takes the position that the tables at this stage of the accountability review process constitute "agency audit workpapers" and are not required to be disclosed under the Texas Public Information Act. Masked data tables are available on the TEA public website on August 6, 2009.

n/a indicates that the data are not available or applicable

A dash (-) indicates there were no students in that group

C O N F I D E N T I A L

T E X A S E D U C A T I O N A G E N C Y
Adequate Yearly Progress District Data Table

Preliminary 2009 AYP Results

District Name: GRANGER (246905)

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP (Measure)	LEP (Students)
--	-----------------	---------------------	----------	-------	------------------	----------------------	------------------	-------------------

Performance: Mathematics (AYP Target: 58%)

AYP Proficiency Rate

2008-09 Assessments

Met Standard	149	4	46	96	74	14	14	n/a
Number Tested	218	7	79	128	120	28	21	18
% Met Standard	68%	57%	58%	75%	62%	50%	67%	n/a
Student Group %	100%	3%	36%	59%	55%	13%	n/a	8%

Performance Improvement/Safe Harbor

2007-08 Assessments

Met Standard	164	4	54	104	86	17	10	n/a
Number Tested	248	11	85	149	137	34	18	16
% Met Standard	66%	36%	64%	70%	63%	50%	56%	n/a

Change in % Met Standard	2	21	-6	5	-1	0	11
--------------------------	---	----	----	---	----	---	----

2008-09 AYP Proficiency Rate including the Texas Projection Measure (TPM)

Met Standard or TPM	171	5	56	106	87	16	14
Number Tested	218	7	79	128	120	28	21
% Met Standard or TPM	78%	71%	71%	83%	73%	57%	67%

The preview data tables are not masked to protect individual student confidentiality. Individual student information (including aggregate counts of less than 5) in the tables is confidential under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA). The agency additionally takes the position that the tables at this stage of the accountability review process constitute "agency audit workpapers" and are not required to be disclosed under the Texas Public Information Act. Masked data tables are available on the TEA public website on August 6, 2009.

n/a indicates that the data are not available or applicable

A dash (-) indicates there were no students in that group

C O N F I D E N T I A L

T E X A S E D U C A T I O N A G E N C Y
Adequate Yearly Progress District Data Table

Preliminary 2009 AYP Results

District Name: GRANGER (246905)

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP (Measure)	LEP (Students)
Participation: Reading/English Language Arts (AYP Target: 95%)								
2008-09 Assessments								
Number Participating	227	8	82	132	122	31		19
Total Students	232	8	85	133	125	31		19
Participation Rate	98%	100%	96%	99%	98%	100%		100%
Student Group %	100%	3%	37%	57%	54%	13%		8%
2007-08 Assessments								
Number Participating	264	11	91	158	143	36		19
Total Students	268	11	94	159	145	36		21
Participation Rate	99%	100%	97%	99%	99%	100%		90%
Participation: Mathematics (AYP Target: 95%)								
2008-09 Assessments								
Number Participating	225	8	82	130	121	30		19
Total Students	228	8	83	132	121	31		19
Participation Rate	99%	100%	99%	98%	100%	97%		100%
Student Group %	100%	4%	36%	58%	53%	14%		8%
2007-08 Assessments								
Number Participating	261	11	88	156	140	36		18
Total Students	265	11	90	158	142	36		19
Participation Rate	98%	100%	98%	99%	99%	100%		95%

C O N F I D E N T I A L

T E X A S E D U C A T I O N A G E N C Y
Adequate Yearly Progress District Data Table

Preliminary 2009 AYP Results

District Name: GRANGER (246905)

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP (Measure)	LEP (Students)
Graduation Rate Class of 2008 (AYP Target: 70%)								
Graduates	43	1	7	34	15	7		1
Number in Class	50	4	8	37	19	8		1
Graduation Rate	86.0%	25.0%	87.5%	91.9%	78.9%	87.5%		100.0%
Student Group %	100%	8%	16%	74%	38%	16%		2%
Graduation Rate Class of 2007								
Graduates	37	0	10	27	11	8		0
Number in Class	39	0	11	28	11	8		0
Graduation Rate	94.9%	-	90.9%	96.4%	100.0%	100.0%		-
Student Group %	100%	0%	28%	72%	28%	21%		0%
Change 2007 to 2008	-8.9	-	-3.4	-4.5	-21.1	-12.5		-

Decreases in graduation rates may be due to significant changes in the dropout definition beginning with the 2005-06 school year.

2009 AYP Explanation Table

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ. Disadv.	Special Education	LEP
Performance: Reading	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
Performance: Math	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
Participation: Reading	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
Participation: Math	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
Other: Graduation Rate	+						
Other: Attendance Rate	-						

+ Meets AYP

- Not Evaluated for AYP due to not meeting minimum size criteria, alternative not used, or the measure is not applicable

% Missed AYP for this performance measure due to the 2% and/or the 1% federal caps

X Missed AYP for this measure